Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/756,375	BOEDER, MARK ROLAND	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Annette H. Para	1661	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowable</u>		
(1) <u>Annette H. Para</u> .	(3)	:	
(2) <u>Charles A. Wendel</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 June 2004	Time: <u>9:00AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner asked for the female parent of the plant and a comparison between the instant plant and the female parent. The name of the female plant was provided to examiner and was entered on the case as an examiner amendment. Concerning the comparison between the instant plant and the female parent the applicant's answer is the following: this crossing of the plant was performed under the guidance of Mr Rob Noodelijk. After his departure, we could only track back in our records the female parent. But those parents are no longer available at CBA, since our present breeders work with a different set of parents in their programs.